

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/196,963	11/20/1998	TARA C. SINGHAL		9755		
	590 04/09/2002	EXAMINER				
TARA CHAND SINGHAL P O BOX 5075 TORRANCE, CA 90510			ST CYR, DANIEL			
TORRANCE,	CAL 70310 .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2876			

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
<b>3</b>		09/196,963		SINGHAL, TARA C.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Daniel St.Cyr		2876	.,				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	on the contraction (a) filed on 00	March 2002							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>								
2a)	11110 0.01.01.	nis action is non-final.	d matters s-	osecution as to t	he merits is				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) 11,26 and 27 is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 1-3,6-10 and 28-44 is/are rejected.								
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in Applicat	ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	terview Summa otice of Informal ther:	ry (PTO-413) Paper I Patent Application (	No(s). <u>14</u> . PTO-152)				
LLS Patent and	Trademark Office				of Donor No. 18				

Art Unit: 2876

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/8/02 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 3 and 20 lines 4 and 17 respectively "it" should be changed to --the charity's bank transfer identification--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-10 28-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke, US Patent No. 5,621,640, cited by the applicant.

Burke discloses an automatic philanthropic contribution system comprising: a consumer in a shop, supermarket, gasoline station, department store, etc. selects the desired merchandise and bring them to a clerk. The clerk inputs the price of all items in a cash register CRx by way of a register keyboard or a bar code reader and the register totals the price. The consumer gives the

Art Unit: 2876

cashier an amount exceeding the price, the consumer may, if he or she wishes, choose to receive the change or to donate or save all or a portion of the change. To do the latter, he or she enters a donor card number into the keypad KPx or enters the donor card itself into the card reader CDx. The latter reads the number from a bar code or magnetic stripe on the card. The consumer cart also enter into the keypad how much of the total change he or she is to receive should be credited to various predetermined accounts in the central computer CC. The register CRx reads the numbers entered into the keyboard or the number entered by way of the card reader CDx. After receiving the data, the register CRx accesses the central computer CC. The latter allocates the change, or portion of the change selected by the consumer, among various charity accounts CA and other accounts OA in the computer CC according to the preprogrammed commands which the consumer has previously entered into the central computer. The consumer receives a printout of all donor transactions as well as the just-completed commercial transaction. If desired, the consumer can choose to donate only a fraction of the difference between the cash presented and the price (see figures 1-5; col. 3, line 10+).

Burke fails to disclose or fairly suggests that a plurality of charities are bar coded along and having bar code information on the first and second sides of the card for identifying the customer-benefactor and the charity.

Burke discloses a bar coded card wherein once the bar code is read, the system interacts with a database for identifying the charity, the customer benefactor, and/or a plurality of charities (see col. 4, line 43+).

In view of such disclosure, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made employ multiple bar code symbol onto the card of

Art Unit: 2876

Burke as an alternate means for identifying the charities and the customer benefactor.

Furthermore, the bar code symbols as claimed by the applicant, are functionally equivalent to the identification steps as taught by Burke. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Burke.

Re claims 28-44, all the limitations have been met above except for the fact that the merchant makes the charitable contribution. With to this regard, having the merchant making the charitable contribution differs from the Burke's system by a mere reversal of parts, see <u>In re Gazda</u>, 104 U.S.P.Q. 400 (1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious expedient. Furthermore, having the merchant provide the contribution would give customers more incentives to shop at the merchant store, wherein the customer would get his/her goods and services and would help one or more charities of their choice.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 11, 26, 27, are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  Although the prior art of record discloses a system and method for making charitable

  contribution, the prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggests a function of computing a

  cumulative charitable contribution equal to prior contribution plus a percent of current sale, as

  set forth in the claims. These limitations in conjunction to other limitations in the claims were

  not shown by the prior art.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Examiner Art Unit 2876

April 6, 2002